



# Multiple Aggregate Entry Points for Ownership Types

Department of Information Technology Uppsala University Sweden



Johan Östlund



**Tobias Wrigstad** 

#### The Perils of Life



# Ownership Types



Strong notion of aggregate

# Ownership Types Models the Physical World



Strong notion of aggregate

# Limitations



- Strong notion of aggregate
  - OAD forces single entry point

# Limitations



- Strong notion of aggregate
  - OAD forces single entry point

# Limitations



- Strong notion of aggregate
  - OAD forces single entry point

#### No notion of shared ownership



— No multiple entry points— No "friends"

# Flatten Ownership Hierarchy



- Lift the ownership of the implementation to the level of the facade
- All objects become siblings (or peers)

— No multiple entry points— No "friends"

# Flatten Ownership Hierarchy



- Lift the ownership of the implementation to the level of the facade
- All objects become siblings (or peers)
- ...but, sadly, enables exposure

— No multiple entry points— No "friends"

### This Talk: Co-Ownership

Allowing several objects to collaboratively and with equal rights define a single, shared context

# This Talk: Co-Ownership

Allowing several objects to collaboratively and with equal rights define a single, shared context



- Multiple entry points to aggregates
- "Friendship"
- Essentially a simplification of multiple ownership [MOJO]
- A "disciplined relaxation of OAD"

# Co-Ownership

- An aggregate context is co-owned by a number of bridge objects
- Bridge objects are siblings
- Different siblings may have different aggregates
- An object cannot be a bridge for more than one aggregate
- Objects in the aggregate enjoy strong encapsulation



# Co-Ownership



#### Example: Iterators

class List<data> { Link<rep, data> head; ... }

```
// Iterator through flattening
class List<data> {
  Link<owner, data> head; ...
```

```
Iterator<owner, data> iter;
```

}

```
Iterator<owner, data> iterator() {
   return iter;
}
```





```
Iterator<bridge, data> iter;
```

}

```
Iterator<bridge, data> iterator() {
   return iter;
}
```

#### Comparison With Existing Systems

**Owners-as-ombudsmen:** every path from a root in the system to an object in an aggregate context contains one of the context's bridge objects.



#### Comparison With Existing Systems

**Owners-as-ombudsmen:** every path from a root in the system to an object in an aggregate context contains one of the context's bridge objects.



OAO

#### Typing Co-Ownership

In addition to its explicitly given permissions, an object has access to

- owner the objects in its owning context
- rep the objects it owns
- aggregate the objects in the aggregate it co-owns with others
- bridge the objects in its owner context with which it co-owns an aggregate

$$(WF-CLASS)$$

$$E = owner \prec^* world, rep \prec^* owner, bridge \prec^* owner, \setminus$$

$$aggregate \prec^* owner, \overline{p} \succ^* owner, this : C\langle bridge, \overline{p} \rangle$$

$$\{\overline{q}\} \subseteq \{\overline{p}\} \quad owner \notin \{\overline{p}\}$$

$$\tau_s = D\langle owner, \overline{q} \rangle \quad E; \tau_s \vdash \overline{F} \quad E; \tau_s \vdash \overline{M}$$

$$\vdash class C\langle owner, \overline{p} \rangle extends D\langle \overline{q} \rangle \{ \overline{F} \overline{M} \}$$

# Typing Co-Ownership

In addition to its explicitly given permissions, an object has ac

- owner the objects in its owning context
- rep the objects it owns
- aggregate the objects in the aggregate it co-owns with
- bridge the objects in its owner context with which it co-owns all aggregate

$$(WF-CLASS)$$

$$E = owner \prec^* world, rep \prec^* owner, bridge \prec^* owner, \land$$

$$aggregate \prec^* owner, \overline{p} \succ^* owner, this : C\langle bridge, \overline{p} \rangle$$

$$\{\overline{q}\} \subseteq \{\overline{p}\} \quad owner \notin \{\overline{p}\}$$

$$\tau_s = D\langle owner, \overline{q} \rangle \quad E; \tau_s \vdash \overline{F} \quad E; \tau_s \vdash \overline{M}$$

$$\vdash class C\langle owner, \overline{p} \rangle \text{ extends } D\langle \overline{q} \rangle \{ \overline{F} \ \overline{M} \}$$

A disciplined (safe) flattening

#### An Aggregate is a "Hidden Subset" of Owner





(EXPR-UPDATE)  

$$E \vdash x : C\langle \sigma^p \rangle$$
  
FieldType(C,  $f$ ) =  $\tau$   
 $E \vdash y : \sigma^p(\tau)$   
rep  $\in Owners(\tau) \Rightarrow x = this$   
(b) bridge, aggregate  $\in Owners(\tau) \Rightarrow p = bridge \leftarrow E \vdash x, f = y : \sigma^p(\tau)$ 

Only assign **aggregate**/**bridge** objects from **bridge** objects

 $\tau$ {**bridge**}

$$(EXPR-METHOD-CALL) \\ E \vdash x : C\langle \sigma^p \rangle$$
  
Signature(C, m) =  $\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$   
 $E \vdash y : \sigma^p(\tau_1)$   
rep  $\in$  Owners( $\tau_1$ )  $\cup$  Owners( $\tau_2$ )  $\Rightarrow x =$  this  
bridge, aggregate  $\in$  Owners( $\tau_1$ )  $\Rightarrow p =$  bridge  
aggregate  $\in$  Owners( $\tau_2$ )  $\Rightarrow p =$  bridge  
OmbudsmanAdaptation( $p, \tau_2$ ) =  $\tau$   $\leftarrow$   
 $E \vdash x.m(y) : \sigma^p(\tau)$   
Lose "bridge status" if x is not a bridge  
OmbudsmanAdaptation(bridge,  $\tau$ ) =  $\tau$   
OmbudsmanAdaptation( $p, \tau_2$ ) =  $\tau$   $\leftarrow$   
OmbudsmanAdaptation( $p, \tau_2$ ) =  $\tau$ 

#### Key Typing Issues 2/2

$$\begin{array}{c} \stackrel{(\text{P-REP})}{\vdash E} & p \in \{\texttt{bridge}, \texttt{aggregate}\} \\ \hline E \vdash \texttt{rep} \rightarrow^{\mathsf{ok}} p \end{array}$$

Objects owned by q are accessible to objects owned by p

Bridge objects and aggregate objects are accessible by representation objects

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{(GOOD-TYPE)} \\ E \vdash p \qquad E \vdash p \rightarrow^{\mathsf{ok}} \overline{p} \qquad \mathsf{Arity}(\mathsf{C}) = |p, \overline{p}| \\ \\ E \vdash \mathsf{C}\langle p, \overline{p} \rangle \end{array}$$

Essentially the standard (GOOD-TYPE) rule of deep ownership types, extended with support for **bridge** and **aggregate** 

# Comparison with Previous Work

\* = enforced at run-time



Boyapati's inner classes	none	no	bad	no equal rights	yes
Lu et al.'s downgrading	none	yes	high	none	yes
Ownership Domains	nne	yes	high	none	yes
CoBoxes	strong*	yes	high	yes	yes
Μοјο & Μοјοјοјο	strong	ham- pered	high	yes	no
This work	strong	yes	discip- lined	yes	yes

Co-ownership is encoded as a flattening which is only visible to the collaborating bridge objects (not to the outside)

Aggregates can be built up in two ways:

- From within (similar to Boyapati's proposal)
- Through attachment (requires unique references)

**Abstraction:** It is not possible to tell whether two siblings belong to the same aggregate or not (once they lose bridge status they're owned by **owner**)

**Current limitation #1**: an object can only participate in one co-ownership

**Current limitation #2:** classes need to explicitly use **aggregate** and **bridge** 

• More details and examples in the paper!

#### Thank you. Questions?

